

From: [TERESA MCGRATH](#)
To: [JWMTR Exhibits](#); [Sen Frederick](#); [Representative Tawna Sanchez](#)
Subject: oppose hb2001/reluctant support amendment 22 80% mfi-30% of mfi is better
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2019 11:45:57 AM

The latest on HB2001: the subcommittee cancelled yesterday's hearing because there are, right now, reportedly not enough votes in the Senate to pass the bill. So the subcommittee reallocated its time to the many other bills on its plate. The bill's proponents and big-money industry supporters are now making a big push to pressure senators to support the bill. Time for us to directly call and email our own senator - as well as our own representative, as well as an

dear sen frederick and rep sanchez,

we just found out the subcommittee cancelled yesterday's hearing, because there are, right now, reportedly not enough votes in the senate to pass the bill...

pls don't let the the pressure to pass this affect you...

it will not protect our historic homes, but allow incentives to demolish...

amendment-22 of hb2001 is a step in the right direction with 80% mfi, but even 30-40% mfi is better...

thx,

teresa mcgrath and nat kim

3344 ne 15th 97212/442 nw sumner 97211

From: [TERESA MCGRATH](#)
To: [JWMTR Exhibits](#); [Sen Frederick](#); [Representative Tawna Sanchez](#)
Subject: oppose hb2001/partially support amendment 22 with 80% mfi, but 30% mfi is better
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2019 11:49:30 AM

dear sen frederick and rep sanchez,

we just found out the subcommittee cancelled yesterday's hearing, because there are, right now, reportedly not enough votes in the senate to pass the bill...

pls don't let the the pressure to pass this affect you...

it will not protect our historic homes, but allow incentives to demolish...

amendment-22 of hb2001 is a step in the right direction with 80% mfi, but even 30-40% mfi is better...

thx for lettting us vent

,

teresa mcgrath and nat kim

3344 ne 15th 97212/442 nw sumner 97211