

Dear Committee,

I was very disappointed at the -10 amendments to HB2001. They did nothing to *require affordable housing, prevent displacement, respect Oregon's Goal 1, or recognize cities' and communities' rights to thoughtfully establish zoning consistent with local comprehensive planning and housing inventories.*

The amendments have not significantly improved HB2001.

HB2001 remains simply a statewide version of Portland's divisive, controversial infill project (RIP).

HB2001 will inflict, statewide, the same demolitions and gentrification, displacement of lower-income communities, and replacement of older affordable housing with new expensive housing that infill development is causing in Portland. **All the problems of RIP apply equally to HB2001.**

Therefore, I would ask you to take two minutes and watch this **video** of testimony I gave on Portland's RIP infill: the URL is <https://youtu.be/N-Qgli4xRHA>.

I'd also ask you to read this analysis I wrote on how RIP infill development brings **expensive housing**, not affordable or even moderate-priced housing. It also applies to HB2001.

As well as this analysis of the **displacement effect** of RIP infill development, again fully applicable to HB2001.

John Liu
Portland, Oregon