

From: [Cristi Land](#)
To: [HHC Exhibits](#)
Subject: Vote no on HB 3063
Date: Friday, March 1, 2019 2:32:13 PM

To the Legislature,

My name is Cristi Land and I adamantly oppose HB 3063.

If the founders of this country were to review HB 3063, they might become concerned that communism is a looming threat, especially considering what has happened just south of us in California and now other states. This country was founded on guaranteed and protected rights, freedoms and liberties that this bill threatens and largely fails to consider. Our founders would view the authors and supporters of this bill as traitors and they would be immediately unseated from their positions (representing the corrupt pharmaceutical industry instead of the people), and most likely removed with force. HB 3063 is unconstitutional, unethical, discriminatory and a disgusting display of attempted government overreach and I am appalled that this bill is even being considered. I do not consent.

HB 3063 is in direct violation of the first amendment, the Nuremberg Code, and alienates the authority and custody of a parent over a child, which is a violation of liberty.
From the Association of American Physicians and

Surgeons: “Governmental preemption of patients’ or parents’ decisions about accepting drugs or other medical interventions is a serious intrusion into individual liberty, autonomy, and parental decisions about child-rearing.”

There are serious concerns over safety and lack of adequate testing and reporting of pertinent data both by CDC, and HHS. The CDC withheld and destroyed pertinent data displaying the link between MMR and autism, as told by whistleblower Senior CDC Scientist William Thompson. In November, 2018 Court case 118 CB03215, HHS admitted that vaccines have not been tested for safety since 1986. There are ongoing conflicts of interest between the vaccine manufacturers and the agencies employed to supposedly protect us. How can just lawmakers consider removing parental choice when these types of shady practices occur? Please consult your conscience if it exists, and ask yourself if this is truly acceptable.

The cookie cutter approach to “protecting the herd” is severely flawed; one size does not fit all and there is no way of knowing if vaccines will be a trigger for the bullet of genetic susceptibility. Each individual has different capacities for detoxification and different immune system variants. Treating vaccines as if they work for every BODY is scientifically and ethically irresponsible, and coercing parents to comply with pulling the trigger that may very well end their child’s life as they know it, is

nothing other than draconian.

Many will continue to be injured and die from historically faulty vaccines that are deemed “unavoidably unsafe” by the Supreme Court. Over four billion dollars in vaccine injury/death compensation has been paid to the unlucky recipients by taxpayers, since the 1986 bill immunizing vaccine manufacturers from liability (where is the incentive to create safe vaccines if they cannot be held accountable for faulty products?); this number only captures about 1-10% of actual vaccine injury since medical doctors remain largely ignorant of the existence of VAERS. Imagine that number if doctors were properly trained to recognize and report vaccine injury.

Mandating vaccines, which have never been tested for carcinogenic or mutagenic potential, nor have they been studied against proper saline placebos, and have exactly zero double blind placebo-controlled studies...would be literal insanity. The CDC refused to perform a vaccinated versus unvaccinated study because they said it was “unethical”. I’ve been studying vaccines since the late 1990’s and all of my research points to vaccines creating more chronic disease and doing more long term damage than good.

There is no valid reason for HB 3063 to pass. If vaccinations work as they are supposed to, unvaccinated individuals could not be a threat to the safety and health

of vaccinated individuals. The reality is that the recently vaccinated, specifically those who are vaccinated with live virus vaccines such as MMR and Chicken Pox, can asymptotically shed the virus for 28 days and sometimes beyond. They literally can create an outbreak by being vaccinated and if in contact with an immunocompromised individual, can make them very ill. Unvaccinated individuals do not manufacture disease in their bodies.

Herd immunity is often argued as a consideration to encourage vaccine mandates; it is used as a manipulation tactic in order to guilt others into vaccinating for the “protection of those who cannot be vaccinated” such as newborns or those with compromised immune systems. In these cases, I encourage personal and parental responsibility. If one’s immune system is not strong enough to fight off pathogens, extra measures should be considered and employed such as masks, extra hand washing, and possibly staying out of public places since any pathogen (whether there is a vaccine for it or not) has the potential to harm this sensitive individual. It is not the responsibility of others to potentially harm themselves to “protect” others.

The concept and argument regarding (vaccine induced) herd immunity has no merit; the threshold has never been proven to exist. Adults remain largely and technically unvaccinated due to waning immunity, which we know

exists due to the development of booster. Many individuals who are up to date on their vaccines according to the CDC schedule, still never develop immunity. For herd immunity to be proven, it would need to be common practice to check titers after every vaccine to confirm immunity. Since this is not, nor has it ever been common practice, herd immunity is only assumed and has never been confirmed to exist at the threshold that is required to give supposed protection against any given disease.

I want to touch back on the religious aspect of my opposition to this bill...most people do not consider or even know that vaccines contain several cell lines of aborted fetuses. Injecting vaccines containing aborted fetal tissue is not only a strong religious and moral objection for me, but a serious medical safety concern. I don't know what else to call this morally twisted practice other than medical cannibalism and I don't want in it my body temple, nor in the body of my offspring.

I have a personal, vested interest in HB 3063, as it will greatly affect my family. It is discriminatory and will deprive many children of their right to an education, should it come to pass. There are three options a parent has in this circumstance: they will remove their child from school and have to bare the burden of homeschooling if they are financially able, they will move to a state with more common sense laws, or due to financial strain, go against their better knowing and

vaccinate their children because you have forced their hand and they are left with no other option. In this way, you the lawmakers are discriminating against the poor, and their children.

If your concern is truly to protect the children and the people, I encourage and support you to dissolve this bill and focus your efforts on making safe vaccines a reality. Legit and transparent safety studies would be a great start, and then making vaccine manufacturers liable and accountable for faulty products, as every other drug and industry is.

Should this draconian bill come to pass, I will dedicate my time to organizing my people and focus my efforts towards whatever legal action that can be taken and/or removal of those responsible for it's passing. I resent spending time opposing this bill, as it should not even be up for discussion or consideration. I do not approve HB 3063 and I most certainly do not consent.

Thanks for your time,

Cristi Land