

Chair Salinas, Vice Chairs Hayden and Nosse, and Committee Members
House Committee on Health Care
Oregon State Legislature
900 Court St. NE, H-492, Salem, Oregon 97301
March 1, 2019

Dear Committee:

I am Alma Regan from Salem. My family is informed, intelligent, and well-educated. Both of my children have Master's degrees from Oregon Universities, and we have lived and worked in Oregon since 1994.

We value the protections and the herd immunity that vaccines can offer. We willingly receive vaccines – when those vaccines are made from components which are Biblically acceptable.

That said, I submit to you that this bill, (HB 3063), written in haste, is gravely flawed on two counts:

(1) The first flaw:

This bill removes the option for religious exemption, an option provided for by almost every other U.S. state; this bill frivolously and unconstitutionally prohibits the free exercise of religion, a founding principle of our great nation.

Why frivolously? Because, for even the most contagious diseases, such as measles; herd immunity securely allows for 5% -7% of the population to be unvaccinated. Statistics from Washington state, where a clear distinction is mandated, show that religious exemptions constitute less than 1/3 of 1% of the population – hence a negligible factor in maintaining herd immunity.

(2) The second flaw:

This bill fails to allow for online education for the unvaccinated, and the partially vaccinated.

If this august body objects to the perceived narrowness of view of the vaccine hesitant; then how does it serve our society, or the children of hesitant parents, for those children to be entirely denied the broader view, and their rights to, a public education?

Thank you.

NOTE: Pertinent excerpts from supporting documentation immediately follows.

What do thresholds have to do with herd immunity?

The microbes that cause disease all have different infectious features. Some, like measles and influenza, pass from person to person more easily than others. Some tend to have more severe consequences in specific demographic groups. For example, the symptoms of pertussis, or whooping cough, are distressing at any age but can be fatal in infants, the age group with the highest death rate from pertussis. Each of these features—such as transmissibility and severity—affects a given disease's threshold, or the minimum percentage of immune individuals a community needs to prevent an outbreak.

To set a threshold, epidemiologists—experts in infectious disease transmission—use a value called "basic reproduction number," often referred to as "R0." This number represents how many people in an unprotected population one infected person could pass the disease along to. For example, R0 for measles is between 12 and 18, while for polio, it is between five and seven. The higher this number is, the higher the immunity threshold must be to protect the community. Because measles is extremely contagious and can spread through the air, for example, the immunity threshold needed to protect a community is high, at 95%. Diseases like polio, which are a little less contagious, have a lower threshold—80% to 85% in the case of polio.

The general concept of an immunity threshold seems simple, but the factors involved in calculating a specific threshold are complex. These factors include how effective the vaccine for a given disease is, how long-lasting immunity is from both vaccination and infection, and which populations form critical links in transmission of the disease. The collective differences in these factors result in different thresholds for different diseases (see below), with a significant factor being R0.

Disease	R0	Threshold (%)
Mumps	4-7	75–86
Polio	5-7	80–86
Smallpox	5-7	80–85
Diphtheria	6-7	85
Rubella	6-7	83–85
Pertussis	12-17	92–94
Measles	12-18	83–94

Relationship between R0 and threshold level needed for herd immunity
 © Tangled Bank Studios; data from Epidemiologic Reviews 1993.

High percentages of vaccinated children results in “herd immunity,” which helps prevent contagious diseases from spreading. But some doctors fear that eliminating states’ religious exemptions won’t adequately address the risk of outbreaks tied to geographic clusters of parents who are opting out of vaccinating their children.

That’s partly because a very small percentage of parents who opt out of vaccines for their children are doing so for religious reasons, according to Daniel Salmon, a professor at Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health and director of the Institute for Vaccine Safety. Exemptions from vaccines have gradually grown in the past three years to a median 2.2 percent of kindergartners among all states. It’s unclear whether and by how much religious exemptions may have grown nationally, but researchers such as Salmon say more parents are using personal exemptions.

“People think of the Amish as the classic group that doesn’t want to vaccinate,” he said. (However, many Amish in Ohio began vaccinating after a measles outbreak there in 2014.) “Most people who have concerns aren’t ideologically opposed to vaccines. They just don’t trust the science, they’ve been misinformed, or they hold different values.”

Nearly every state has carved out religious exemptions for parents who wish not to vaccinate their children (West Virginia and Mississippi, in addition to California, have not). West Virginia is considering a new proposal to add personal and religious exemptions.

Washington, which is one of the least religious states in the country, is one of the 17 states that allow a personal or philosophical exemption for the vaccine, which means that most anyone can opt out for any reason. In 2018, just 0.3 percent of Washington’s families with kindergartners used a religious exemption, while 3.7 percent of families used a personal exemption and 0.8 percent used a medical exemption.

Large majorities of Americans from all major religious groups say healthy children should be required to receive vaccinations to attend school, according to the Pew Research Center. Scholars believe no major religious group advocates against vaccinations on the basis of official doctrine. However, some individuals from various faith traditions believe vaccinating goes against their personal religious beliefs.

