

**From:** [Diana Cooper](#)  
**To:** [HHC Exhibits](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Opposing HB 3063  
**Date:** Thursday, February 28, 2019 12:13:27 PM

---

Hello from Southern Oregon,

I am writing in opposition to House Bill 3063. As a professional in the field, the decision on whether to vaccinate or not has been one of great study for our family and many families I know. There is a lot of misinformation on both sides but what it really comes down to is:

1) Vaccines DO carry risk, risk that cannot be detected through an initial office visit but require a much deeper understanding of family history, personal history, genetics, and other health factors. This is a fact, not an opinion, and is clearly given by reading the inserts and reviewing the CDC's own guidelines and information.

2) Some of the vaccines in the schedule do not prevent the transmission of the disease (IPV) but only prevent personal symptoms. Some of the diseases are not able to be transmitted (Tetanus, HepB if the child is not infected). Excluding a child from accessing public education for not receiving just one of these mentioned vaccines is unconstitutional.

3) There is no liability on the shoulders of the manufacturers. This is the only product that I'm aware of in the United States where the manufacturer cannot be held liable for a defective or ineffective product. Our civil liability is a fundamental freedom that keeps manufacturers in check and promises consumers that research and resources will pave the way for even safer products.

If there is any doubt, we must not pass this bill. I do support vaccinations and I support science. I DO NOT support a bill that doesn't look at all of the facts and denies children their right to a public education based off of fear.

Thank you for your time,

Diana Cooper  
Community Health Worker  
Medford, Oregon