

My name is Agnieszka Navarrete and am writing to you as a resident of Corvallis, Oregon, a concerned parent, a former medical provider who is now self-employed.

I would like to express my opposition to HB3063. The following are some of the reasons for my opposition:

I oppose this bill because science is not written in stone. It is changing and evolving as new findings come to light.

I oppose this bill because the current vaccine schedule has not been tested for safety cumulatively and there is a lack of double blinded placebo studies. The excuse for lack of those studies is that they would be unethical to withhold vaccines but what really is unethical is the fact that there are government officials out there who want to force us to inject our children with products that have NOT been properly tested for safety and that carry risks that nobody wants to take responsibility for.

I oppose this bill because: "No vaccine manufacturer shall be liable in a civil action for damages arising from a vaccine-related injury or death associated with the administration of a vaccine..."

I oppose the bill because the claim that science has proved vaccines to be safe and effective is based on "science" that is lacking studies and it includes studies that have been falsified as admitted by several including Dr. William Thompson (CDC), Dr. Andrew Zimmerman, Stephen A. Krahling and Joan A. Wlochowski (Merck). What else don't we know? What else is going on behind doors closed to the public? Who will be the next whistleblower coming forward?

I oppose this bill because over 4 billion dollars have been paid through the vaccine injury court with many families still fighting. The payouts will grow with time.

I oppose this bill because vaccine injury is NOT rare and only an estimated 1%-10% of vaccine injuries/reactions are reported. Parents are often ridiculed and dismissed by medical professionals who either don't believe that vaccines can be harmful or that there is no connection with the child's reaction and the vaccine. Professionals who do acknowledge the connection tell us to get philosophical exemptions because they don't feel comfortable issuing medical exemptions due to the pressures from authorities they face. The requirements to obtain a medical exemption are rigorous. Even children with genetic mutations that make them more susceptible to vaccine injury, those with autoimmune diseases, allergies and parents/siblings who suffered vaccine injury don't qualify for medical exemptions. There are no recommended genetic tests, allergy test, etc prior to the administration of a vaccine. All people are assumed to handle vaccines the same. We are NOT the same.

I oppose this bill because I personally have suffered a vaccine reaction after receiving Tdap and Hep A & B vaccinations in the year 2007. My reaction wasn't reported as I wasn't made aware of potential reactions or injuries outside of pain at the injection site and anaphylaxis. No informed consent has taken place when I received my shots. The timeframe in which an injury or reaction can be reported is limited. My time to report this has expired. Many families and people find themselves in similar situations due to lack of information and education by medical providers.

I oppose this bill because I personally know families whose children have been injured by vaccines. I have witnessed their pain and suffering.

I oppose this bill because according to the requirements in Oregon, a 10-year-old unvaccinated child, would require ALL vaccines missed since infancy to be considered "up to date" even though the CDC requires fewer doses.

I oppose this bill because it coerces parents who cannot homeschool due to various reasons to inject their children with substances that have been deemed by the Supreme Court as “unavoidably unsafe”.

I oppose this bill because keeping children out of the public classroom based on their vaccine status is discrimination. Many parents don't and can't vaccinate because it is against their personal religious beliefs.

I oppose this bill because it is against my personal religious beliefs to inject my body and the body of my child with vaccines made using human fetal cells originating from aborted fetuses, vaccines containing DNA fragments, and vaccines made using animals. My religious beliefs are not governed or prescribed by a specific church or religious organization. My religious beliefs and faith are PERSONAL and the government has no right to dictate what stands between me and my God.

I oppose this bill because the body of my child belongs to my child and NOT the government.

I oppose this bill because I support the right to informed consent, informed refusal and medical freedom.

If you support this bill and say that you worry about those who cannot be vaccinated, those who are immunocompromised and those who are too young to receive vaccines? I understand your concerns; however, vaccine-preventable diseases are very few. As someone who worked in the medical field, I can tell you that there are many horrific diseases out there that are not vaccine-preventable. Do you worry about all those other diseases as much as you worry about “vaccine-preventable diseases”? No? Then what is your true motive behind supporting this bill? Additionally, vaccinations don't guarantee immunity. If you are concerned about all those people, would you require proof of immunity for those who have been vaccinated before they attend school? What about those who have been vaccinated with a live vaccine? Will you start excluding those people for recommended time periods due to the risk of shedding as stated by manufactures and the CDC? No? Then again, what is your true motive behind supporting this bill?

I OPPOSE HB3063!

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,

Agnieszka Navarrete