

Dear Honorable House Rules Committee Members,

SB941 is unworthy of becoming a new law, here's why (please take a couple of minutes to read this)

Floyd Prozanski was asked directly “ **How would law enforcement know if someone skips the background check?**”, Floyd's response, and I quote “**Clearly, in many of these cases, we would not know...**” Thus rendering this bill unenforceable and useless...

So I ask you, members of the House Rules Committee, two questions:

- 1) Just how is overtaxed and overworked law enforcement expected to enforce this law? (Seeing we have several sheriffs that have already publicly stated they would not enforce this law, period.)
- 2) Just what purpose does this proposed law serve? If there's no gun registration involved, how does one go about validating that a person to person firearms sale was indeed run through the Oregon State background check system?

Something doesn't add up here.... Sen. Prozanski clearly has stated that this is not a gun registration process, if it is not, what is the basis upon which one determines whether that mandatory background check was performed? Without a mandatory gun registration, this legislation has no teeth...

This legislation is just words on page, which has no meaning or value. Because it has no basis from which to be checked historically. Sen. Prozanski should be ashamed of himself and perhaps disciplined for this deceitful piece of legislation being rushed through the Senate. He should know better as a litigator.

Good members of the House Rules Committee, I urge you to put this legislation to its rightful death, as if you peel back the covers in detail, it's unenforceable without a gun registration process, a process that I would vehemently oppose...

See this legislation for what it really is and cast a “**NO**” vote on **SB941**.

Respectfully,

Craig McDermott
Hillsboro, OR 97124