

February 20, 2015

SB324-A testimony in opposition for Public record

House Committee On Energy and Environment

Chair Representative Jessica Vega Pederson
and members of the committee.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak out in opposition of SB 324 Carbon Standards.

I have retired as Landscape Contractor and Gardener, very familiar with Climate Change, There weather year from year may be different from the last year, been through drought and floods in times past. There are cycles to the changes in weather, that are many factors in influencing weather from year to year or Climate Change. Predicting the weather is not a settled science, as with the IPCC models, it is the information that is used that gives a prediction. Garbage in Garbage out, before I became a landscape contractor, I was a Senior Main Frame Computer operator working with programers on Missile Defense, KMR, I'm familiar with computer models and how they work.

In 2010 I went to a Rubicon meeting in Eugene, where a UO Professor and a retired scientist, debated the Hockey Stick climate model, with the UO Prof, stating the science is settled, while the scientist explained how the Models were using false data, and were wrong.

Today with 'Climate-gate', we know that there was fraud involved in the models used in 2009 when the Carbon Standard was introduced as law.

These are the models that the IPCC used to scare the bejeebers out of the World! The models did not predict that there had been no global warming for the last 16 years, no Hockey Stick, Polar bears are fine, the sea has not covered the islands and low coastal areas. It was an INCOVENIENT LIE!

That has robbed the poor and gave to the rich! Is Global Warming a scam many believe it is, and the mainstream media will not cover, addressed in this article

<http://townhall.com/columnists/pauldriessen/2015/02/21/the-climate-con-goes-on-n1960093>

The Global Temperature have stayed level for 16+ years, even though the Carbon Parts Per Million continued to rise. Carbon does not lead temperature. Global Warming is not caused by Carbon. The science is not settled! There is NO Global Warming caused by Carbon from Mankind!

The 2009 carbon standard law had Sunsets to insure that there is a stop and look point, to see if there is any reason to continue or time to stop.

SB324 Has **NO** sunset, **NO** time limit, **NO** guarantees the carbon standard will even do what it is supposed to do! After six years, **NO** Carbon Standard is available and the cost is speculative. The goal of **NO** cost increase has been removed and a 4 percent rise in cost of fuel is now acceptable. There are **NO** alternative fuels the Oil suppliers can provide that will meet carbon standards and credits will have to be traded for those that can not comply. Is this not the objective, **Carbon Trading?** There is an Emergency Clause that will not allow Oregon Citizens to bring this to the vote of the people. How is this an emergency when this has sat around for six years, with **NO** results and **NO** true timetable, as the dates and objectives keep changing at the whim of the DEQ. They do not even have to follow any rules, if they disagree with their findings.

SB324-A testimony in opposition for Public record

The SB324 A removed “[d) ‘PADD 5 region’ means the Petroleum Administration for Defense District 5 states of Arizona, Nevada, Oregon and Washington.]”

Will this put Oregon at a disadvantage if there are no comparison required?

Also removed [(d) The commission shall provide exemptions and deferrals as necessary to mitigate the costs of complying with the low carbon fuel standards upon a finding by the commission that the 12-month rolling weighted average price of gasoline or diesel in Oregon is not competitive with the 12-month rolling weighted average price in the PADD 5 region.]”

No exemptions, does this mean only carbon trades allowed?

HJR 10 and **HJR 11**, proposes a Constitutional amendment to 'allow' **carbon taxes**, and raise the Tax on OIL and natural Gas and **HB2082** will impose a **carbon tax** on Fuel suppliers and Utilities. This is just the tip of the iceberg that is heading our way! I can hear that rocket heading skyward!

Time to ask questions.

What is the benefit of raising the cost of fuel in Oregon?

If British Columbia is an example, the cost is high and the benefit in carbon reduction is miniscule at best! <http://wattsupwiththat.com/2013/07/15/why-revenue-neutral-isnt-and-other-costs-of-the-bc-tax/>

Does the Carbon Standard fund Roads and infrastructure? **NO**, the money generated will go for the operation of a **Carbon Trade** program, subsidizing projects that use zero carbon.

There is **NO** estimate of how long it has SB324 to make its own rules, to create the carbon standard. If they have to extend the program, DEQ will just extend it. Legislature needs to put the brakes on this runaway program.

What is the economic impact? Those that can least afford an increase in prices. Not the corporations and suppliers, as they will just pass the cost to consumers.

The free market is ignored as the price of fuel is raised to subsidize Global Warming Hysteria.

Oregon is considering using the costly and contested Carbon Fuel Standards policy of California and British Columbia.

Why would it be any different in Oregon?

The Science is not settled!

Robert Sowdon
Cottage Grove Oregon