

From: Sarah Redfield
To: Sen.MichaelDembrow@state.or.us; Sen.AlanOlsen@state.or.us; Sen.HermanBaertschiger@state.or.us; Sen.Roblan; Sen.FloydProzanski@state.or.us; Raszka Shelley; [SENR Exhibits](#); [Patrino Beth](#)
Subject: SB 432 / SB 602 / SB 608 / SB612 / SB618
Date: Monday, April 03, 2017 4:49:39 PM

Dear Chairman Dembrow and Committee Members,

SB 432 - All counties and city/towns within such counties should comply with existing state land use laws and planning goals regardless of population growth. There are many reasons certain rural areas remain flat in their growth patterns and it is not because of restrictive land use laws.

SB 602 - Cities should adhere to certain urban growth boundary expansion standards as defined by our existing planning goals. To allow expansion as defined SB 602 could have a serious negative effect on our perimeter surrounding lands most substantially our EFU status properties.

SB 608 - This Bill is a numbers game that is very dangerous and will open the way to much interpretation. These prescribed guidelines are moving targets that should definitely not be a part of boundary expansion by any cities.

SB612 - This Bill further muddies the waters with the potential for a numbers game. Land use goals should not be changed for any reasons mentioned in the Bill.

SB618 - This Bill is could be very dangerous in regards to our state land use laws. Allowing a local county or city to define its own "Land Use Emergency" would set a precedent that contradicts our present system of land use laws. We must keep out established standards in place.

I am asking the committee to keep these 5 Bills from moving out of committee.

Best,
Tygh Redfield
Sistes/Cloverdale
Oregon

Sarah Redfield

Principal

SKYPE: gachrudaprm

www.gachruda.com

gachruda pr + m